

Review of File:

Resource Recovery Corporation Landfill
Pasco, WA

Date: 6-9-80 C.B.Wilson

USEPA SF



1371135

1. It appears that no site inspection visit was made since 7-25-79 although Hansen suggested this as a "priority site for visit by our initial ~~initial~~ assessment team".
2. Malm's narrative report is not dated or signed. It refers to a recent meeting (no date) with the company.
~~only one company person~~ ~~The only company representative~~
~~so~~ A closure agreement was reviewed. Additional information ~~is needed~~ should be added to the file regarding this review. For example, what "required" follow-up actions had not been taken (requires by ?), what further action will be taken by the company (activities, date by which action will be completed).
A survey of wells in the area was also mentioned.
~~that with this survey or~~ How will this survey be conducted to determine if the site is influencing groundwater (date, parameters to be measured, will ~~existing~~ wells situated in locations that ~~can~~ would all new wells be sunk)?

9/73

3. Wind erosion was noted on 7-25-79 (Hansela's memo, 8-2-79) at the 2,4-D site. Has this erosion continued or been remedied in any way?

Monthly reports of

4. 1 Waste activity at the Basco landfill site for May 1973 through December 1974 (~~with the exception of S~~) (except for September 1973) are included in the file. These list ~~tens~~ thousands of drums of waste that were

5 P